Herron, Matt 122, described as "photographer-investigator", in index and in text. He is not and never pretended to be an investigator. He is a Yale-educated writer turned excellent photogrpaher by preference.

"He began packing to go to Memphis to make his own investigation", and similar, ridiculing trip to Dallas. Nowhere does Frank say the onbious, that Matt was a photographer. He worked for Black Star. I worked on one such job with him.

"In recent months he had given considerable information to District Attorney Garrison of New Orleans in the latter's case against Clay Shaw." Is this not a strange way of saying that Matt was the photographer with James Phelan when Phelan went to Baton Rouge to interview Perry Russo?

What Frank ~~says~~ does <u>not</u> say is that he ~~also~~ did try to give information to the FBI, that blacks talked to him because of his civil-rights record, that he gave not Garrioson but me is original notes and tapes of interviews. And this is all set forth in FU.

But with all this nonsense, and tha further cheap ridicule by reference to Joesten, who was and remained in Europe and could and did conduct no investigation(p.343), why is there no reference to the one thorough investigation on the unofficial side in this definitive book, the complete "true story od the assassination of Dr. Martin Luther King,Jr.", to the one book about that unofficial side. With 200,000 words and almost 500 pages?